

Traffic Improvement Requests

LOW PRIORITY

| Appli pri | Of Ran | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------|
| Applicant priority | Officer Ranking | Ward/Parish | Road/Location | Project | Application details | Officer Comment | Estimated costs |
| | | | | | | The width of the road does not favor a refuge. This site would | |
| | | | | | | provide space for an alternative crossing facility. There is a | |
| | | | | | | relatively low pedestrian crossing figure at this site, which is on a | |
| | | | | | | fairly busy and fast road. However there are a number of elderly | |
| | | | | | | people crossing and a small number of children at peak times | |
| | | | | | | only. Accident figures show that one pedestrian has been slightly | |
| | | | | | | injured over the past 5 years. The speed of traffic is higher than | |
| | | | | | | desirable and initially it is recommended that traffic calming be | |
| | | | | | | considered to help reduce speeds and thus make crossing | |
| | | | A123 Hainault | Traffic calming/speed | | opportunities easier. However as the A123 is a priority 1 route, no | |
| 1 | Low | Chigwell | Road | reduction | A historical request for a pedestrian crossing. | traffic calming will be permitted | |
| | | | | | | Pedestrians crossing were relatively low, as are the vehicle flows. | |
| | | | | | | There is no particular delay in crossing the road. However it must | |
| | | | | | | be born in mind that there have been 21 casualties, including 2 | |
| | | | | | | fatal, on this road over the past 5 years. It is advised that | |
| | | | | | | signalizing the junction with the inclusion of pedestrian phasing, | |
| | | | | | | would benefit pedestrians and perhaps contribute to traffic | |
| | | | | | | calming with a view to minimizing accidents. Yet Officers advise | |
| 1 | Low | Chigwell | Turpins Lane | Signal controlled junction | A historical request for a pedestrian crossing. | that there are not suitable locations for a crossing to be installed. | |
| | | | | | | There were only a relatively small number of pedestrian | |
| | | | | | | movements recorded and the speed of traffic was generally fairly | |
| | | | | | | slow on this arm of the junction. Also, no injuries for pedestrians | |
| | | | | | | have been recorded in the past 5 years. The conditions at this | |
| | | | | | | location were not considered to be difficult for pedestrians to | |
| | | | | | | cross. In view of this, it is not felt necessary to provide a separate | |
| | | | | | | crossing facility at this location, but safety at the junction could be | |
| | | | | | | greatly improved if a signalized arrangement was introduced. A | |
| | | | | | | signalized phase may not be required on this leg, however the | |
| | | | | | | traffic control across the whole junction could provide improved | |
| | | | _ . | | | regular headway gaps in traffic flow and thus provide greater | |
| | | . | Tomswood | | | crossing opportunities in Tomswood Road. Officers advise that a | £7,500 pedestrian |
| | LOW | Chigwell | Road | Signal controlled junction | A historical request for a pedestrian crossing. | refuge at the junction may be possible. | refuge. |
| 1 | | | | | | The width of the road does not favor a refuge, but potentially | |
| | | | | | | provide space for a crossing facility. Although the site is a cut | |
| | | | | | | through for traffic at peak hours, it is a fairly quite site and no | |
| 1 | | | | | | children were noted to cross, nor have there been any recorded | |
| 1 | | | | | | pedestrian casualties n the past 5 years. As the 85th percentile | |
| 1 | | | | | | speed of traffic is 34 and 36mph, consideration could be given to | |
| 1 | | | | | | some for of traffic calming. However there does not appear to be | |
| | | | B181 Lindsey | Traffic claming/speed | | any difficulty in crossing the road. It must also be noted that the footway is narrow and the grass verge is the property of the | £170,000 puffin |
| 1 | Low | Epping | Street | • | A historical request for a pedestrian crossing. | Corporation of London | crossing |
| | | | | | | The podestrian count illustrates bick volumes of percents and | |
| | | | | | | The pedestrian count illustrates high volumes of parents and | |
| | | | | | | children crossing are concentrated in the morning and afternoon | |
| | | | | | | with very little pedestrian movement of the remainder of the day. | |
| | | Enning | hay Chimneye | Pedestrian crossing | A historical request for a podestrian crossing | In these circumstances a school crossing patrol is usually the best way of controlling and protecting these crossing movements. | |
| 1 | LOW | Epping | Ivy Chimneys | Pedestrian crossing | A historical request for a pedestrian crossing. | I way or controlling and protecting these crossing movements. | |

EssexWorks.

For a better quality of life



Traffic Improvement Requests

LOW PRIORITY

| Applicant priority | Officer Ranking | Ward/Parish | Road/Location | Project | Application details | Officer Comment | Estimated costs |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Low | Loughton | Traps Hill | Revised parking restrictions, signing and lining | A fast track solution to highway safety problems resulting from current parking arrangements in Traps Hill. Current speed limit 30mph. Suggest revised parking restrictions, lining/signing. Significant support for improvement at this location from local residents and Town Councilors. Proximity of children's nursery, exit from Loughton Library and Leisure Centre. | This project is already being looked at with other amendments and revocations. | |
| 1 | Low | Loughton | Goldings Hill | Pedestrian crossing | | The pedestrian flow recorded was very low and although traffic levels are high, it is not felt that there is latent demand to cross at this location. It appears that the main reason to cross the road is for the bus stop on either side of the road. It is therefore recommended that no further action be taken with regards to a pedestrian crossing. | |
| | | | | | to one of the only two river crossings in the area. It would also enable residents to talk to the Woodman Public House without walking along a stretch of the | 1.) The exact location would need to be identified with the Parish Council. 2.) The gateways are a sound proposal, however would be subject to there being sufficient verge width. 3.) A min- roundabout on the A113, which is a primary route is very unlikely | 1.) £5,000-7,500. 2.) £1.200 per |
| 1 | Low | Stanford Rivers | Passingford Honey Lane (Wood Green | Various | busy A113 which has no pavement. | to meet Essex County Council criteria The pedestrian flows are very low and although traffic levels are high it is not felt that there is a latent demand to cross at this location. It is therefore recommended that no further action to is | gateway |
| | | Waltham Abbey Waltham Abbey | Road) Sewardstone Road | Pedestrian crossing Pedestrian crossing | | The pedestrian flows recorded at this site are very low, and although traffic levels are high it is not felt that there is a latent demand to cross at this location. It is therefore recommended that no further action be taken at this site. | |

EssexWorks.

For a better quality of life



LOW PRIORITY

| Applicant priority | Officer Ranking | Ward/Parish | Road/Location | Project | Application details | Officer Comment | Estimated costs |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Hoe Lane is a small winding rural road with a speed limit of 60mph. There are points which are not wide enough for vehicles to pass each other at speed. There are also blind bends which enhance the danger. HGVs regularly use this road in error, on an almost daily basis. The Parish Council is unable to get an agency to monitor and enforce the width restriction. However if a weight restriction was put in place, they would be able to contact Truckwatch, who would be able to monitor the situation. The local school is located on Hoe Lane and therefore the road is heavily used and there are many concerns and complaints. It | | £3,000 costs associated with |
| 2 | 2 Low | Lambourne | Hoe Lane | Weight restriction | has also been in the local paper on many occasions with concerns from local people. | This cannot be achieved until the Sustainable Freight Strategy is put in place | Traffic Regulation Order and signs |

EssexWorks.

For a better quality of life